

TMG

MINING PROJECTS MANAGEMENT

BUSINESS GUIDE

Surviving & Thriving Through the Supercycle

Critical Strategies for Mining Success in Boom & Bust

TMGPROJECTS.CA

Introduction

The mining industry is notorious for its cyclical nature, characterized by periods of rapid expansion followed by significant slowdowns. These "supercycles" are driven by various factors, including global economic conditions, technological advancements, and changes in commodity demand.

Between 2002 and 2012, for example, the price of iron ore surged by nearly 800% due to a supercycle driven by China's industrialization. Understanding and navigating these cycles is crucial for mining companies to maximize profits and sustain long-term growth. This guide delves into the supercycle phenomenon, emphasizing the importance of initiating projects during slow periods and preparing to maximize asset profitability with the inevitable rise in commodity prices.

Understanding the Mining Supercycle

The supercycle in mining refers to extended periods of above-average demand growth for commodities, followed by significant slowdowns. These cycles can last for decades and are typically driven by macroeconomic factors such as industrialization, urbanization, and technological advancements. For instance, China's rapid industrialization in the early 2000s led to an unprecedented demand for commodities like iron ore, copper, and coal, driving prices to record highs.

At its peak, the price of copper rose by more than 400%, from \$0.75 per pound in 2002 to \$3.50 per pound in 2006. However, as industrialization slowed, so did the demand, leading to a significant downturn in commodity prices by 2015, when copper prices dropped below \$2.50 per pound.

During the expansion phase of a supercycle, mining companies often experience windfall profits, leading to increased investments in exploration, mergers & acquisitions, project development, and production expansion. Global mining capital expenditure peaked at \$140 billion in 2012 at the height of the last supercycle.

When the cycle inevitably turns, these same companies can face significant challenges, including falling prices, reduced cash flows, and increased financial pressure. In 2015, as commodity prices plummeted, the top 40 mining companies saw their net profits fall by 72%. To navigate these cycles successfully, mining companies must adopt a strategic approach that includes capitalizing on slow periods to prepare for the next upswing.



Capitalizing on Slow Periods: A Strategic Imperative

One of the most important strategies for mining companies is initiating projects during periods of low commodity prices. These slow periods, characterized by reduced competition and lower input costs, provide a strategic window for companies to invest in exploration and project development. For example, during the downturn from 2012 to 2015, global exploration budgets decreased by over 50%, and overall mining capital expenditures dropped significantly from their peak of \$140 billion in 2012, reflecting a sharp decline in project investment during the slowdown. Companies that strategically invest during these periods can capitalize on reduced costs and be better positioned to take full advantage of rising commodity prices when the market rebounds.

Lower Input Costs & Reduced Competition

During a downturn, the costs associated with mining projects, such as labor, equipment, and materials, tend to decrease. For instance, mining equipment costs fell by as much as 15% during the 2015 commodity downturn. This reduction in expenses can significantly enhance the economic viability of new projects. Additionally, lead times for critical items like transformers and mills were reduced from 72 weeks to 50 weeks during the last lull, further accelerating project schedules. Shorter lead times and reduced input costs make projects more viable. Moreover, with fewer companies pursuing new developments during these periods, there is often less competition for resources such as skilled labor, equipment, and capital. This reduced competition allows companies to secure better deals and lock in favorable terms for future operations, positioning themselves advantageously for the next market upswing.



Regulatory & Community Engagement

Another advantage of starting projects during a lull is engaging with regulators and local communities in a more favorable environment. During boom periods, regulatory agencies and local communities may be overwhelmed by the sheer volume of projects to review, leading to delays in approvals and strained relationships. For instance, during the peak of the last supercycle, environmental approval times for significant mining projects were extended by up to 50% due to regulatory backlogs.

In contrast, during slow periods, companies can work more closely with these stakeholders to ensure that projects are developed in a way that meets regulatory requirements and gains community support, thus avoiding bottlenecks when the market rebounds.



Ensuring Readiness for the Next Upswing

The most compelling reason to start projects during a downturn is to ensure they are ready to go when commodity prices rise. Projects in the pipeline or already under development allow companies to quickly ramp up production to meet increasing demand, maximizing profits once commodity prices peak. A study of past supercycles shows that mining companies with projects ready at the start of a price recovery can see profit margins increase by up to 40% compared to those that start projects during the boom. This approach requires careful planning and execution, but the rewards can be substantial, enabling companies to capture a larger market share during high commodity prices.

Common Executive Mistakes in the Mining Industry

Despite the clear advantages of starting projects during slow periods, many mining executives make critical mistakes that can undermine the success of these initiatives. Understanding and avoiding these mistakes is essential for ensuring that projects are completed on time, within budget, and with maximum economic value.

Misaligned Operational KPIs

One of the most common mistakes in the mining industry is the misalignment of operational Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) with project goals. While KPIs are essential for measuring performance and guiding decision-making, they can sometimes lead to unintended consequences. For instance, a study by McKinsey found that up to 60% of mining projects experience delays and cost overruns due to misaligned operational KPIs. If KPIs are too narrowly focused on short-term production targets, they may encourage behavior that compromises long-term project success, resulting in delays, cost overruns, and project failure.

To avoid this pitfall, mining executives must ensure that KPIs are aligned with the asset's overall strategic objectives. This alignment requires clear communication, collaboration between the operations team and project management, and ongoing monitoring and adjustment of KPIs as the project progresses. By doing so, companies can reduce the risk of misalignment and enhance the likelihood of project success.

Lack of Consultant Oversight

Another common mistake is the inadequate oversight of engineering consultants. While consultants play a crucial role in the planning and execution of mining projects, they can also become a liability if not properly managed. Inadequate monitoring of consultants can lead to prolonged and unproductive engineering phases, which can delay the project and increase costs. According to a report published in 2020 by Deloitte, ineffective consultant management can result in project cost overruns of up to 20%. To mitigate this risk, mining executives must establish clear consultant expectations and performance metrics. Regular progress reviews and open communication channels ensure that consultants stay on track and deliver the expected results.

Overspending on Non-Essentials

Gold plating, or the practice of overspending on non-essential aspects of a project, is another mistake that can erode a mining project's economic value. A 2024 study by PwC found that up to 30% of mining projects suffer from budget overruns due to unnecessary spending on non-core project elements. While adding extra features or enhancements to a project may be tempting, these additions can quickly spiral out of control, leading to cost overruns and reduced profitability.

Mining executives must exercise discipline and focus on the project's core elements that are essential for its success. Any additional features or enhancements should be carefully evaluated for their economic impact and only approved if they provide a clear return on investment. This disciplined approach helps ensure that projects remain economically viable, even during periods of market uncertainty.

Rushed Execution & The Cost of Hasty Decisions

During high commodity prices, there is often a rush to complete projects as quickly as possible to capitalize on favorable market conditions. However, this rush can lead to hasty decisions and shortcuts that compromise the quality and success of the project. According to the International Council on Mining and Metals (ICMM), rushed execution is a leading cause of safety incidents and operational inefficiencies in the mining industry. Skipping critical planning stages, such as the Pre-Feasibility Study, or cutting corners on construction can lead to significant problems down the line, including safety issues, operational inefficiencies, and legal liabilities.

To avoid these pitfalls, mining executives must resist the urge to rush projects and focus on careful planning and execution. While it may be tempting to push for rapid completion, the project's long-term success depends on doing things right the first time and observing proper governance. By prioritizing thorough planning and execution, companies can minimize the risks associated with rushed projects and enhance the likelihood of successful outcomes.

Scope Creep

Scope creep, or the tendency for a project to expand beyond its original parameters, is another common issue in the mining industry. According to research published in 2019 by KPMG, scope creep contributes to more than 50% of project cost overruns in the mining sector. Poorly defined project scopes can lead to unforeseen add-ons and changes that drive costs and delay completion. This issue is often exacerbated by a lack of clear communication between stakeholders and a failure to establish firm boundaries for the project.

To prevent scope creep, mining executives must invest time upfront in defining a clear and comprehensive project scope. This scope should be communicated to all stakeholders and rigorously enforced throughout the project. Any changes or additions should be carefully evaluated for their impact on cost, schedule, and overall project success. By doing so, companies can reduce the risk of cost overruns and ensure that projects are completed on time and within budget.

Poor Document Management

Effective documentation is vital for the success of any mining project, but it is frequently underestimated or poorly handled. A study by EY revealed that inadequate document management accounts for 40% of project delays in the mining industry. Poor documentation practices can hinder tracking project progress, resolving disputes, and meeting regulatory compliance. Additionally, it can lead to data loss, complicating efforts to maintain continuity and accountability throughout the project. These challenges can drive up costs, extend timelines, and threaten the project's viability if not appropriately addressed.

Maximize your capital project success with TMG's Project Execution Support. Our comprehensive suite of services provides expert guidance and oversight, ensuring smooth execution and optimal outcomes. Don't let project challenges hinder your progress. Partner with TMG for unparalleled expertise and results.

Contact us today to elevate your project success!

SPEAK WITH AN EXPERT

TMG specializes in executive and management consulting for the mining and oil and gas sectors, offering tailored oversight and strategic guidance across all project stages to ensure optimal outcomes from conception to execution.

